

# WUSTL Course Evaluations

10/2/2019

**Title of Course:** Economics of Law

**Course:** L11 403

**Section:** 01

**Semester:** Spring 2016

**Instructors:** Juan Pantano (Instructor), David Lindequist (TA)

**Completed Evaluations:** 8 of 11 (73%)

**Scoring Key**

**Bold** - Score

(S) - System's Average Score For the Template

(D) - Department's Average Score For the Template

(M) - Median Score For the Question

**Course Section Requirements:**

Number of Quizzes	n/a
Number of Examinations	n/a
Number of short papers (1-5pgs)	n/a
Number of long papers (6 +pgs)	n/a
Number of homework assignments	n/a
Number of individual projects	n/a
Number of group projects	n/a
Number of oral presentations	n/a
Was attendance required?	No
Was class participation required?	No
Were take home exams given?	No

**Discussion Section (Teaching Assistant)**

Overall Evaluation (David Lindequist - TA)

**6.14 Give an overall rating for the section**

(S) 5.83 of 11115	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent
(D) 5.53 of 1674	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (37.50%)	0 (0.00%)	4 (50.00%)
(M) 7.00								

**5.71 Give an overall rating of the teaching effectiveness**

(S) 5.73 of 11115	(blank)	1 - Poor	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Excellent
(D) 5.50 of 1674	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (12.50%)	3 (37.50%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (37.50%)
(M) 5.00								

Instruction (David Lindequist - TA)

**6.00 Material was presented clearly**

(S) 5.88 of 11115	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.58 of 1674	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (12.50%)	1 (12.50%)	2 (25.00%)	3 (37.50%)
(M) 6.00								

# WUSTL Course Evaluations

10/2/2019

## 6.00 Questions were answered clearly and concisely

(S) 5.84 of 11115	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.59 of 1674	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (12.50%)	1 (12.50%)	2 (25.00%)	3 (37.50%)
(M) 6.00								

## 6.00 Material was presented at an appropriate pace

(S) 5.93 of 11115	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.56 of 1674	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (12.50%)	1 (12.50%)	2 (25.00%)	3 (37.50%)
(M) 6.00								

## 6.29 The TA was well prepared for class

(S) 6.15 of 11115	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.55 of 1674	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (12.50%)	1 (12.50%)	0 (0.00%)	5 (62.50%)
(M) 7.00								

## 5.86 Class time was used well

(S) 5.90 of 11115	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.52 of 1674	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (37.50%)	2 (25.00%)	2 (25.00%)
(M) 6.00								

## 6.00 The TA effectively led the class

(S) 5.82 of 11115	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.48 of 1674	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (25.00%)	3 (37.50%)	2 (25.00%)
(M) 6.00								

## 6.00 Topics were effectively related to the lectures

(S) 6.10 of 11115	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.61 of 1674	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	3 (37.50%)	1 (12.50%)	3 (37.50%)
(M) 6.00								

## 6.14 Communicated at a level appropriate for the class

(S) 6.06 of 11115	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.64 of 1674	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (25.00%)	2 (25.00%)	3 (37.50%)
(M) 6.00								

Interaction with students (David Lindequist - TA)
---

# WUSTL Course Evaluations

10/2/2019

## 5.71 Expectations were clearly explained

(S) 5.85 of 11115	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.51 of 1674	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (12.50%)	2 (25.00%)	2 (25.00%)	2 (25.00%)
(M) 6.00								

## 6.71 Grading procedures were fair

(S) 5.86 of 11115	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.66 of 1674	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (12.50%)	0 (0.00%)	6 (75.00%)
(M) 7.00								

## 6.00 TA was concerned for students

(S) 5.98 of 11115	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.53 of 1674	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (12.50%)	1 (12.50%)	2 (25.00%)	3 (37.50%)
(M) 6.00								

## 6.29 TA was available for consultation outside of class

(S) 6.08 of 11115	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.73 of 1674	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (12.50%)	3 (37.50%)	3 (37.50%)
(M) 6.00								

## 6.29 TA maintained positive environment in class

(S) 6.14 of 11115	(blank)	1 - Strongly Disagree	2	3	4	5	6	7 - Strongly Agree
(D) 5.68 of 1674	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (12.50%)	3 (37.50%)	3 (37.50%)
(M) 6.00								

### Short Answers (David Lindequist - TA)

Is there something important about the section not covered by these questions?

00	N/A
01	No.
05	Nothing.
06	-

### Instructor Short Answers (David Lindequist - TA)

What did you like <u>most</u> about the teaching of this section by the TA?

00	N/A
----	-----

# WUSTL Course Evaluations

10/2/2019

- 
- 03 I was surprised at the classes taught by David. When Juan was away to conferences, he prepared his own material and was great at explaining concepts and reviewing knowledge we needed for the class.
  - 04 NA
  - 05 N/A
  - 06 When he did teach, he taught fairly well. He spoke well. Yeah.

## What did you like least about the teaching of this section by the TA?

- 00 N/A
- 04 NA
- 05 N/A
- 06 He spoke a little fast and kinda zipped through the lecture. Didn't get the class involved.